



**Perimeter Wall Stabilization (PWS) Segment 8 Test Parcel No. 803 (TP803)  
Completion Concurrence and Acceptance  
Kingston Perimeter Containment – Segment 8 (RDP-0113-J)**

Stantec has reviewed the supporting QC documentation for the referenced Test Parcel with regards to the QC criteria of horizontal alignment, vertical alignment, rock embedment, uniformity to full depth, and unconfined compressive strength. The following table is a summary of the evaluation for each of these criteria and supporting documentation.



<b>Test Parcel No. 803 (TP803)</b>			
<b>QC Criteria</b>	<b>Referenced Specification</b>	<b>Documentation of Evaluation</b>	<b>Meets QC Criteria</b>
<b>Horizontal Alignment</b>	<b>Section 02650, Paragraph 4.4.2</b> "Maximum horizontal deviation of any Soil-Cement Panel shall not exceed 6 inches from the center location shown on the approved shop drawings."	<b>Approved Shop Drawings – Recommendation for Acceptance</b>	<b>Yes</b>
		<b>KRP Form 105 (latest revision)</b>	
<b>Vertical Alignment</b>	<b>Section 02650, Paragraph 4.4.3</b> "Soil-Cement Panels shall be constructed to within +/- 1% of vertical (plumb)."	<b>KRP Form 105 (latest revision) – initial alignment</b>	<b>Yes</b>
		<b>Geo-Con Daily QC Report – maximum deviation</b>	
<b>Rock Embedment</b>	<b>Section 02650, Paragraph 2.3.4</b> The Rock Embedment shall not be less than the minimum required depth of rock embedment along the full length of each Soil-Cement Panel. The minimum Rock Embedment is defined for each segment on the Profile Drawing. <b>Note:</b> For Segment 8, minimum rock embedment for a 3-foot wall is 1.7 feet, and for a 4-foot wall is 2.0 feet.	<b>KRP Form 105 (latest revision)</b>	<b>Yes</b>
<b>Uniformity to Full Depth</b>	<b>Section 02650, Paragraph 2.2.1</b> Absence of unmixed or unfixed ash, soil, and rock inclusions discovered by coring the completed wall. Any length of unrecovered core run shall be interpreted as indicating unmixed or unfixed inclusions. Walls shall have no continuous, unmixed or unfixed ash or soil fragments, or other discontinuity or deformity with any dimension exceeding half the effective thickness of the wall.	<b>S&amp;ME Drafted Core Logs</b>	<b>Yes</b>
		<b>S&amp;ME Core Photographs</b>	
<b>Unconfined Compressive Strength (UCS) Results</b>	<b>Section 02650, Paragraph 2.2.3</b> For acceptance based on wet-grab specimens, Soil Cement Strength shall be either of the following:  (1) "the Adjusted Mean Strength shall be $\geq$ 280 psi, and the Adjusted Exceedance Fraction of tests above 185 psi shall be $\geq$ 90%," <b>OR</b> (2) "the Adjusted Mean Strength shall be $\geq$ 340 psi, and the Adjusted Exceedance Fraction of tests above 165 psi shall be $\geq$ 90%."	<b>S&amp;ME Wet-Grab Test Results</b>	<b>Yes</b>
		<b>QC Manager Calculations – Adjusted Mean Strength</b>	



Perimeter Wall Stabilization (PWS) Segment 8 Test Parcel No. 803 (TP803)  
Completion Concurrence and Acceptance  
Kingston Perimeter Containment – Segment 8 (RDP-0113-J)

Based on an assessment of the Quality Control documentation (previously defined), and field observations at the time of construction, Stantec recommends that TVA accept the above referenced Test Parcel.

Acceptance Concurrence:


<b>Quality Control – Stantec</b>	
 P. Brad Smiley, PE, CWI Stantec PWS QC Manager	<u>06/07/2012</u> Date
<b>Tennessee Valley Authority (or Representative)</b>	
 Diane F. Odom - Jacobs KRP Quality Officer, Operations, Engineering, & Construction	<u>6-06-2012</u> Date
 Jim Sells – Jacobs Geo-Con Technical Contract Manager	<u>6-6-12</u> Date
 Vernon J. Dotson, Jr. – TVA CCP Stantec Technical Contract Manager	<u>6/6/12</u> Date
<b>EPA Representative</b>	
 Craig Zeller US EPA Remedial Project Manager	<u>6/07/12</u> Date

Enclosures:

- Exhibit 1 - Test Parcel Acceptance
- Exhibit 2 - Test Parcel Location
- Exhibit 3 - Adjusted Strength Calculations
- Exhibit 4 - Approved Shop Drawings – Recommendation for Acceptance
- Exhibit 5 - KRP Form 105
- Exhibit 6 - Geo-Con Daily QC Report
- Exhibit 7 - S&ME Core Logs & Photographs
- Exhibit 8 - Unconfined Compressive Strength Results
- Exhibit 9 - 56-day Extension Justification (Not Required)
- Exhibit 10 - QC Assessment and Mitigation Documentation (Not Required)
- Exhibit 11 - Cold Joint Mitigation by Jet Grouting Acceptance Package (Not Required)



C-B Wall Panels Installed  
Between March 8, 2012  
and March 28, 2012



**Test Parcel 803 (TP803)**  
Constructed:  
March 15, 2012,  
March 23, 2012, and  
March 26, 2012

**TP803 Wall Lengths**

Inboard Wall	-	98.5-ft
Shear/Buttress	-	210.0-ft
Outboard Wall	-	98.5-ft
<b>Total</b>		<b>407.0-ft</b>




**EXHIBIT 1**  
**TEST PARCEL 803 ACCEPTANCE**  
KINGSTON ASH RECOVERY PROJECT

DATE: 4 June 2012	PHASE: PWS Concurrence
----------------------	---------------------------


I:\0002 ENGINEERING\Chiv\Report\_Graphics\PWS Concurrence\Concurrence - Segment 8, TP803.dwg Jun 04 2012 - bperaiso



SWAN POND RD



BATCH PLANT



**EXHIBIT 2**  
**TEST PARCEL 803 LOCATION**  
KINGSTON ASH RECOVERY PROJECT

DATE: 4 June 2012  
PHASE: PWS Concurrence

## Exhibit 3 - Adjusted Strength Calculations



### Test Parcel Adjusted Strength Calculations

**Stantec**

#### Test Parcel No. 803 (TP803)

- 1) The number of wet-grab cylinders which were tested for unconfined compressive strength, cured at an age of 56 days from Test Parcel TP803. 25 samples
  
- 2) The mean UCS value of this data set was determined to be the following: 299.5 psi
  
- 3) Fraction Exceeding 185 psi: 25 tests = 100.0%  
 Fraction Exceeding 165 psi: 25 tests = 100.0%
  
- 4) To compute the Inclusion Adjustment Fraction, the first 5-feet of the core hole and the penetration into rock are ignored, per Section 02650, Paragraph 1.4.39 of the Specifications.  
 Total Length of Core for Assessment = 111.7 -ft
  
- 5) In 0 5-foot core runs in the soil cement (each below a depth of 5-feet), the core recovery was less than 90% (core loss greater than 6-inches in each case). The total length of unrecovered core in these runs was computed to be: 0.0 -ft
  
- 6) 0 unmixed or unfixed soil inclusions, each one being more than half of the diameter of the core and longer than 6-inches, were discovered in the recovered core. The total length of these inclusions was computed to be: 0.0 -ft
  
- 7) The Inclusion Adjustment Fraction, as defined in the Section 02650, Paragraph 1.4.40 of the Specifications, is computed as follows:  
  

$$\text{Inclusion Adjustment Fraction} = \frac{\text{Total Core Loss (Step 5)} + \text{Total Length of Inclusions (Step 6)}}{\text{Total Length of Core (Step 4)}}$$

$$\text{Inclusion Adjustment Fraction} = \frac{0.0 + 0.0}{111.7} = 0.0000$$
  
- 8) The Presumed Inclusion Strength is 10 psi, per Section 02650, Paragraph 1.4.41 of the Specifications.
  
- 9) The Adjusted Mean Strength, as defined in Section 02650, Paragraph 1.4.42, is computed as follows:  
  

$$\text{Adjusted Mean Strength} = (10 \text{ psi}) \times 0.0000 + 299.5 \times (1 - 0.0000) = 299.5 \text{ psi}$$
  
- 10) The Adjusted Exceedence Fraction as defined in Section 02650, Paragraph 1.4.43 of the Specifications is computed as follows:  
  

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Adjusted Exceedence Fraction (185psi)} &= 100.0\% \times (1.0 - 0.0000) = 100.0\% \\ \text{Adjusted Exceedence Fraction (165psi)} &= 100.0\% \times (1.0 - 0.0000) = 100.0\% \end{aligned}$$
  
- 11) Compare Results to Criteria in Section 02650, Paragraph 2.2.3 of the Specifications for Wet Grab samples.  

	Achieved	Criteria Set 1		Criteria Set 2	
		Limit	Pass?	Limit	Pass?
Adjusted Mean Strength (psi):	299.5	280	TRUE	340	FALSE
Adjusted Exceedence Fraction for 185 psi:	100.0%	90%	TRUE	90%	TRUE
Adjusted Exceedence Fraction for 165 psi:	100.0%				
The Test Parcel passes this set of criteria:			TRUE		FALSE

Overall Criteria Pass: TRUE
  
- 12) From visual observations of retrieved core, are the requirements of Section 02650 Paragraph 2.2.1 of the Specifications met? TRUE

#### Conclusion

The Adjusted Mean Strength and Adjusted Exceedence Fraction of the wet grab samples exceeds the limits provided in Section 02650, Paragraph 2.2.3 of the Specifications; therefore, the strength of this particular test parcel is found to meet the specified requirements.

#### Mitigation

- 13) Based on the QC Assessment for this Test Parcel, this Test Parcel requires mitigation. FALSE
- 14) The required mitigation has been implemented and meets the QC requirements outlined in the QC Assessment. (See Exhibit 10) N/A

No mitigation is required for this Test Parcel.