

Comments Following Public Meetings by Individuals

FEB 19 2002

Public Comments

Tennessee Valley Authority
Melton Hill Reservoir

Name: David E Lourse Adaline

Address: 425 Jones Lane

Clinton, TN 37716

Phone: (865) 945-3830

Comments (please use the space below):

Please see attached

I live directly across from the boat ramp in Bull Run Creek. Every weekend in the summer the park is full of people fishing, swimming & boating. I think it will be a very serious mistake for the intake facility to be in such a populated area. Many children play in the area and I fear that serious injury is inevitable.

In addition, the facility will be extremely detrimental to my home value. We have worked very hard to build a nice home for my

Comments:

Comments are encouraged and will be utilized to make a decision regarding the proposed intake facility on Melton Hill Lake. Written comments must be received on or before Feb. 15, 2002. These comments will be used in preparation of the final environmental assessment and should be directed to:

Mr. Scott Ledford
2009 Grubb Road
Lenoir City, TN 37771
wsledford@tva.gov
(865) 988-2443

family and do not want a facility close-by that will create noise, water & visual pollution.

Please consider the following list of concerns in your environmental assessment of the proposed Raw Water Intake Plant to be located in the Bull Run Creek area of Melton Hill Reservoir. We strongly object to the proposed location for a Water Intake Structure on the basis of the negative environmental impacts it will have on Bull Run Creek, surrounding wetlands, wildlife, and the adjacent quiet residential neighborhoods.

At the proposed intake location, the creek is only 5 to 8 feet deep at its most frequent depth (Not the 10 -12 feet stated in the report). The shoreline of the surrounding area is frequently below normal pool. The frequent draw down would contribute to lower water quality as a potential 22 million gallons of water would be taken daily.

The increased noise level from the intake facility is a serious concern. At the time these studies were conducted the following noises impacted these studies.

- A loose expansion joint on the Bridge. (since repaired)
- Noise from passing boats. (an intermittent disruption)
- Traffic passing by on Henderson Road (an intermittent disruption)
- Activity at the adjacent Anderson County recreation area and boat launch.
- Passing trains and warning whistles at the Bull Run Railroad Bridge

All these sounds were averaged together to set the average ambient sound level. Since these sounds are not constant they are more easily tolerated by people. A pumping station would drone for hours at a time! Any constant noise, regardless of the decibel level will seriously contribute to noise pollution.

This use is not consistent with the 1999 TVA Environmental Assessment (EA) and Land Use Plan for Melton Hill. This plan was set forth to provide enhanced protection to sensitive resources (such as cultural sites, wetlands and rare species). The parcel of land where the water intake facility is to be located was designated by the TVA Board of Directors as parcel #80 and placed in Zone 7, Residential access. The report states that there are emergent wetlands present on this parcel. Even in 1999, TVA had concerns about the environmental impact of further development of this parcel. (This parcel was not designated as a Zone 2, Project Operations parcel)

In the summer of 2001, a boating death occurred in these waters. The increased traffic and high use of this area is not consistent with the location of a water intake facility.

Additional key concerns include, but are not limited to:

- increased water pollution and sediment (resulting from construction)
- decreased water levels (8-22 million gallons to be withdrawn daily)
- decreased water quality (resulting from lower water levels)
- increased fluctuation of water levels
- increased erosion and deterioration of shoreline
- increased water clutter (industrial intake pipes, screens, and buoys, signs)
- increased noise pollution (Pump noise, Air conditioning noise, warning horn)
- increased light pollution (building lighting, illumination spotlight)
- negative impact on natural landscape (industrial building, signage)
- decreased recreational use and safety concerns
- negative impact on public park and recreation area (boating, fishing, swimming, etc.)
- heavy blasting on Creek and Mehaffey Road for pipeline construction which could cause home and road damage

Thank you for your diligence in this matter.

JAN 29 2002

Public Comments

Tennessee Valley Authority
Melton Hill Reservoir

Name:

Charles R Barnes

Address:

120 Campbell LnClinton, TN. 37714

Phone:

865-945-1422

Comments (please use the space below):

Please see attached

I Feel that the enormous amt. of water proposed to be drawn will interfere with our coast line + deprive us of the use of our facilities

Comments:

Comments are encouraged and will be utilized to make a decision regarding the proposed intake facility on Melton Hill Lake. Written comments must be received on or before Feb. 15, 2002. These comments will be used in preparation of the final environmental assessment and should be directed to:

Mr. Scott Ledford
2009 Grubb Road
Lenoir City, TN 37771
wsledford@tva.gov
(865) 988-2443

70

FEB. 15, 2002

Public Comments
Tennessee Valley Authority
Melton Hill Reservoir

Name: Dr. + Mrs. G. R. Birdwell

Address: 101 Rosemarie Lane

Clinton TN 37716

Phone: 865-945-2775

Comments (please use the space below):

Please see attached

Comments:

Comments are encouraged and will be utilized to make a decision regarding the proposed intake facility on Melton Hill Lake. Written comments must be received on or before Feb. 15, 2002. These comments will be used in preparation of the final environmental assessment and should be directed to:

Mr. Scott Ledford
2009 Grubb Road
Lenoir City, TN 37771
wsledford@tva.gov
(865) 988-2443

Please consider the following list of concerns in your environmental assessment of the proposed Raw Water Intake Plant to be located in the Bull Run Creek area of Melton Hill Reservoir. We strongly object to the proposed location for a Water Intake Structure on the basis of the negative environments impacts it will have on Bull Run Creek, surrounding wetlands, wildlife, and the adjacent quiet residential neighborhoods.

At the proposed intake location, the creek is only 5 to 8 feet deep at its most frequent depth (Not the 10 -12 feet stated in the report). The shoreline of the surrounding area is frequently below normal pool. The frequent draw down would contribute to lower water quality as a potential 22 million gallons of water would be taken daily.

The increased noise level from the intake facility is a serious concern. At the time these studies were conducted the following noises impacted these studies.

- A loose expansion joint on the Bridge. (since repaired)
- Noise from passing boats. (an intermittent disruption)
- Traffic passing by on Henderson Road (an intermittent disruption)
- Activity at the adjacent Anderson County recreation area and boat launch.
- Passing trains and warning whistles at the Bull Run Railroad Bridge

All these sounds were averaged together to set the average ambient sound level. Since these sounds are not constant they are more easily tolerated by people. A pumping station would drone for hours at a time! Any constant noise, regardless of the decibel level will seriously contribute to noise pollution.

This use is not consistent with the 1999 TVA Environmental Assessment (EA) and Land Use Plan for Melton Hill. This plan was set forth to provide enhanced protection to sensitive resources (such as cultural sites, wetlands and rare species). The parcel of land where the water intake facility is to be located was designated by the TVA Board of Directors as parcel #80 and placed in Zone 7, Residential access. The report states that there are emergent wetlands present on this parcel. Even in 1999, TVA had concerns about the environmental impact of further development of this parcel. (This parcel was not designated as a Zone 2, Project Operations parcel)

In the summer of 2001, a boating death occurred in these waters. The increased traffic and high use of this area is not consistent with the location of a water intake facility.

Additional key concerns include, but are not limited to:

- increased water pollution and sediment (resulting from construction)
- decreased water levels (8-22 million gallons to be withdrawn daily)
- decreased water quality (resulting from lower water levels)
- increased fluctuation of water levels
- increased erosion and deterioration of shoreline
- increased water clutter (industrial intake pipes, screens, and buoys, signs)
- increased noise pollution (Pump noise, Air conditioning noise , warning horn)
- increased light pollution (building lighting, illumination spotlight)
- negative impact on natural landscape (industrial building, signage)
- decreased recreational use and safety concerns
- negative impact on public park and recreation area (boating, fishing, swimming, etc.)
- heavy blasting on Creek and Mehaffey Road for pipeline construction which could cause home and road damage

Thank you for your diligence in this matter.

141

FEB 07 2002

Public Comments

Tennessee Valley Authority
Melton Hill Reservoir

Name: Larry & Mitzi BODIE

Address: Henderson Bend Lot #2
Knoxville, TN 37931

Phone: 865-805-9393

Comments (please use the space below):

Please see attached

Comments:

Comments are encouraged and will be utilized to make a decision regarding the proposed intake facility on Melton Hill Lake. Written comments must be received on or before Feb. 15, 2002. These comments will be used in preparation of the final environmental assessment and should be directed to:

Mr. Scott Ledford
2009 Grubb Road
Lenoir City, TN 37771
wsledford@tva.gov
(865) 988-2443

Please consider the following list of concerns in your environmental assessment of the proposed Raw Water Intake Plant to be located in the Bull Run Creek area of Melton Hill Reservoir. We strongly object to the proposed location for a Water Intake Structure on the basis of the negative environmental impacts it will have on Bull Run Creek, surrounding wetlands, wildlife, and the adjacent quiet residential neighborhoods.

At the proposed intake location, the creek is only 5 to 8 feet deep at its most frequent depth (Not the 10 -12 feet stated in the report). The shoreline of the surrounding area is frequently below normal pool. The frequent draw down would contribute to lower water quality as a potential 22 million gallons of water would be taken daily.

The increased noise level from the intake facility is a serious concern. At the time these studies were conducted the following noises impacted these studies.

- A loose expansion joint on the Bridge. (since repaired)
- Noise from passing boats. (an intermittent disruption)
- Traffic passing by on Henderson Road (an intermittent disruption)
- Activity at the adjacent Anderson County recreation area and boat launch.
- Passing trains and warning whistles at the Bull Run Railroad Bridge

All these sounds were averaged together to set the average ambient sound level. Since these sounds are not constant they are more easily tolerated by people. A pumping station would drone for hours at a time! Any constant noise, regardless of the decibel level will seriously contribute to noise pollution.

This use is not consistent with the 1999 TVA Environmental Assessment (EA) and Land Use Plan for Melton Hill. This plan was set forth to provide enhanced protection to sensitive resources (such as cultural sites, wetlands and rare species). The parcel of land where the water intake facility is to be located was designated by the TVA Board of Directors as parcel #80 and placed in Zone 7, Residential access. The report states that there are emergent wetlands present on this parcel. Even in 1999, TVA had concerns about the environmental impact of further development of this parcel. (This parcel was not designated as a Zone 2, Project Operations parcel)

In the summer of 2001, a boating death occurred in these waters. The increased traffic and high use of this area is not consistent with the location of a water intake facility.

Additional key concerns include, but are not limited to:

- increased water pollution and sediment (resulting from construction)
- decreased water levels (8-22 million gallons to be withdrawn daily)
- decreased water quality (resulting from lower water levels)
- increased fluctuation of water levels
- increased erosion and deterioration of shoreline
- increased water clutter (industrial intake pipes, screens, and buoys, signs)
- increased noise pollution (Pump noise, Air conditioning noise, warning horn)
- increased light pollution (building lighting, illumination spotlight)
- negative impact on natural landscape (industrial building, signage)
- decreased recreational use and safety concerns
- negative impact on public park and recreation area (boating, fishing, swimming, etc.)
- heavy blasting on Creek and Mehaffey Road for pipeline construction which could cause home and road damage

Thank you for your diligence in this matter.

61

MAN. 30 2002

Public Comments

Tennessee Valley Authority
Melton Hill Reservoir

Name: David L Bowling Sr

Address: 6526 Hickory Valley Rd
Heiskell, TN 37754

Phone: 865-494-9882

Comments (please use the space below):

Please see attached

Comments:

Comments are encouraged and will be utilized to make a decision regarding the proposed intake facility on Melton Hill Lake. Written comments must be received on or before Feb. 15, 2002. These comments will be used in preparation of the final environmental assessment and should be directed to:

Mr. Scott Ledford
2009 Grubb Road
Lenoir City, TN 37771
wsledford@tva.gov
(865) 988-2443

Please consider the following list of concerns in your environmental assessment of the proposed Raw Water Intake Plant to be located in the Bull Run Creek area of Melton Hill Reservoir. We strongly object to the proposed location for a Water Intake Structure on the basis of the negative environments impacts it will have on Bull Run Creek, surrounding wetlands, wildlife, and the adjacent quiet residential neighborhoods.

At the proposed intake location, the creek is only 5 to 8 feet deep at its most frequent depth (Not the 10 -12 feet stated in the report). The shoreline of the surrounding area is frequently below normal pool. The frequent draw down would contribute to lower water quality as a potential 22 million gallons of water would be taken daily.

The increased noise level from the intake facility is a serious concern. At the time these studies were conducted the following noises impacted these studies.

- A loose expansion joint on the Bridge. (since repaired)
- Noise from passing boats. (an intermittent disruption)
- Traffic passing by on Henderson Road (an intermittent disruption)
- Activity at the adjacent Anderson County recreation area and boat launch.
- Passing trains and warning whistles at the Bull Run Railroad Bridge

All these sounds were averaged together to set the average ambient sound level. Since these sounds are not constant they are more easily tolerated by people. A pumping station would drone for hours at a time! Any constant noise, regardless of the decibel level will seriously contribute to noise pollution.

This use is not consistent with the 1999 TVA Environmental Assessment (EA) and Land Use Plan for Melton Hill. This plan was set forth to provide enhanced protection to sensitive resources (such as cultural sites, wetlands and rare species). The parcel of land where the water intake facility is to be located was designated by the TVA Board of Directors as parcel #80 and placed in Zone 7, Residential access. The report states that there are emergent wetlands present on this parcel. Even in 1999, TVA had concerns about the environmental impact of further development of this parcel. (This parcel was not designated as a Zone 2, Project Operations parcel)

In the summer of 2001, a boating death occurred in these waters. The increased traffic and high use of this area is not consistent with the location of a water intake facility.

Additional key concerns include, but are not limited to:

- increased water pollution and sediment (resulting from construction)
- decreased water levels (8-22 million gallons to be withdrawn daily)
- decreased water quality (resulting from lower water levels)
- increased fluctuation of water levels
- increased erosion and deterioration of shoreline
- increased water clutter (industrial intake pipes, screens, and buoys, signs)
- increased noise pollution (Pump noise, Air conditioning noise , warning horn)
- increased light pollution (building lighting, illumination spotlight)
- negative impact on natural landscape (industrial building, signage)
- decreased recreational use and safety concerns
- negative impact on public park and recreation area (boating, fishing, swimming, etc.)
- heavy blasting on Creek and Mehaffey Road for pipeline construction which could cause home and road damage

Thank you for your diligence in this matter.

Public Comments

Tennessee Valley Authority
Melton Hill Reservoir

Name: Ken Butcher

Address: 404 Jones Ln

Clinton Tn 37716

Phone: _____

Comments (please use the space below):

Please see attached

Comments:

Comments are encouraged and will be utilized to make a decision regarding the proposed intake facility on Melton Hill Lake. Written comments must be received on or before Feb. 15, 2002. These comments will be used in preparation of the final environmental assessment and should be directed to:

Mr. Scott Ledford
2009 Grubb Road
Lenoir City, TN 37771
wsledford@tva.gov
(865) 988-2443

Please consider the following list of concerns in your environmental assessment of the proposed Raw Water Intake Plant to be located in the Bull Run Creek area of Melton Hill Reservoir. We strongly object to the proposed location for a Water Intake Structure on the basis of the negative environmental impacts it will have on Bull Run Creek, surrounding wetlands, wildlife, and the adjacent quiet residential neighborhoods.

At the proposed intake location, the creek is only 5 to 8 feet deep at its most frequent depth (Not the 10 -12 feet stated in the report). The shoreline of the surrounding area is frequently below normal pool. The frequent draw down would contribute to lower water quality as a potential 22 million gallons of water would be taken daily.

The increased noise level from the intake facility is a serious concern. At the time these studies were conducted the following noises impacted these studies.

- A loose expansion joint on the Bridge. (since repaired)
- Noise from passing boats. (an intermittent disruption)
- Traffic passing by on Henderson Road (an intermittent disruption)
- Activity at the adjacent Anderson County recreation area and boat launch.
- Passing trains and warning whistles at the Bull Run Railroad Bridge

All these sounds were averaged together to set the average ambient sound level. Since these sounds are not constant they are more easily tolerated by people. A pumping station would drone for hours at a time! Any constant noise, regardless of the decibel level will seriously contribute to noise pollution.

This use is not consistent with the 1999 TVA Environmental Assessment (EA) and Land Use Plan for Melton Hill. This plan was set forth to provide enhanced protection to sensitive resources (such as cultural sites, wetlands and rare species). The parcel of land where the water intake facility is to be located was designated by the TVA Board of Directors as parcel #80 and placed in Zone 7, Residential access. The report states that there are emergent wetlands present on this parcel. Even in 1999, TVA had concerns about the environmental impact of further development of this parcel. (This parcel was not designated as a Zone 2, Project Operations parcel)

In the summer of 2001, a boating death occurred in these waters. The increased traffic and high use of this area is not consistent with the location of a water intake facility.

Additional key concerns include, but are not limited to:

- increased water pollution and sediment (resulting from construction)
- decreased water levels (8-22 million gallons to be withdrawn daily)
- decreased water quality (resulting from lower water levels)
- increased fluctuation of water levels
- increased erosion and deterioration of shoreline
- increased water clutter (industrial intake pipes, screens, and buoys, signs)
- increased noise pollution (Pump noise, Air conditioning noise, warning horn)
- increased light pollution (building lighting, illumination spotlight)
- negative impact on natural landscape (industrial building, signage)
- decreased recreational use and safety concerns
- negative impact on public park and recreation area (boating, fishing, swimming, etc.)
- heavy blasting on Creek and Mehaffey Road for pipeline construction which could cause home and road damage

Thank you for your diligence in this matter.

55

JAN 29 2002

Public Comments

Tennessee Valley Authority
Melton Hill Reservoir

Name: EARL H. CARLE

Address: 407 OLD BLACKSERRY LN -
CLINTON TN 37716

Phone: 865-945-1135 Earl H Carle

Comments (please use the space below):

Please see attached

The decision regarding the proposed intake facility is not a welcomed one in this area. The residence from my understanding that live near the proposed sight do not wish to have it placed in their backdoor. Not to mention the fact that the people have work for a long time to have a park were they could enjoy fishing and swimming with their families. By placing this facility on the proposed sight would be a hinderance on what has taken alot of time and money to achieve.

Earl H Carle 1-28-02

Comments:

Comments are encouraged and will be utilized to make a decision regarding the proposed intake facility on Melton Hill Lake. Written comments must be received on or before Feb. 15, 2002. These comments will be used in preparation of the final environmental assessment and should be directed to:

Mr. Scott Ledford
2009 Grubb Road
Lenoir City, TN 37771
wsledford@tva.gov
(865) 988-2443

Please consider the following list of concerns in your environmental assessment of the proposed Raw Water Intake Plant to be located in the Bull Run Creek area of Melton Hill Reservoir. We strongly object to the proposed location for a Water Intake Structure on the basis of the negative environmental impacts it will have on Bull Run Creek, surrounding wetlands, wildlife, and the adjacent quiet residential neighborhoods.

At the proposed intake location, the creek is only 5 to 8 feet deep at its most frequent depth (Not the 10 -12 feet stated in the report). The shoreline of the surrounding area is frequently below normal pool. The frequent draw down would contribute to lower water quality as a potential 22 million gallons of water would be taken daily.

The increased noise level from the intake facility is a serious concern. At the time these studies were conducted the following noises impacted these studies.

- A loose expansion joint on the Bridge. (since repaired)
- Noise from passing boats. (an intermittent disruption)
- Traffic passing by on Henderson Road (an intermittent disruption)
- Activity at the adjacent Anderson County recreation area and boat launch.
- Passing trains and warning whistles at the Bull Run Railroad Bridge

All these sounds were averaged together to set the average ambient sound level. Since these sounds are not constant they are more easily tolerated by people. A pumping station would drone for hours at a time! Any constant noise, regardless of the decibel level will seriously contribute to noise pollution.

This use is not consistent with the 1999 TVA Environmental Assessment (EA) and Land Use Plan for Melton Hill. This plan was set forth to provide enhanced protection to sensitive resources (such as cultural sites, wetlands and rare species). The parcel of land where the water intake facility is to be located was designated by the TVA Board of Directors as parcel #80 and placed in Zone 7, Residential access. The report states that there are emergent wetlands present on this parcel. Even in 1999, TVA had concerns about the environmental impact of further development of this parcel. (This parcel was not designated as a Zone 2, Project Operations parcel)

In the summer of 2001, a boating death occurred in these waters. The increased traffic and high use of this area is not consistent with the location of a water intake facility.

Additional key concerns include, but are not limited to:

- increased water pollution and sediment (resulting from construction)
- decreased water levels (8-22 million gallons to be withdrawn daily)
- decreased water quality (resulting from lower water levels)
- increased fluctuation of water levels
- increased erosion and deterioration of shoreline
- increased water clutter (industrial intake pipes, screens, and buoys, signs)
- increased noise pollution (Pump noise, Air conditioning noise, warning horn)
- increased light pollution (building lighting, illumination spotlight)
- negative impact on natural landscape (industrial building, signage)
- decreased recreational use and safety concerns
- negative impact on public park and recreation area (boating, fishing, swimming, etc.)
- heavy blasting on Creek and Mehaffey Road for pipeline construction which could cause home and road damage

Thank you for your diligence in this matter.

44

PAID HB

JAN 31 2002

JAN 31 2002

Public Comments

Tennessee Valley Authority
Melton Hill Reservoir

Tennessee Valley Authority

Name: MR. & MRS. ROBERT CAMPBELL

Address: 150 TRACY ALLISON LN.
CLINTON, TN. 37716

Phone: 945-5300

Comments (please use the space below):

Please see attached.
WE WOULD ALSO LIKE TO ADD THAT THE DECISIONS YOU MAKE NOW AND IN THE DECADES TO COME WILL AFFECT NOT ONLY ALL OF HUMAN CIVILIZATION BUT ALSO THE FATE OF THOUSANDS OF SPECIES REPRESENTING THOUSANDS OF YEARS OF EVOLUTION. PLEASE DO NOT DISTURB OUR LAKE & SURROUNDING AREAS.

Comments:

Comments are encouraged and will be utilized to make a decision regarding the proposed intake facility on Melton Hill Lake. Written comments must be received on or before Feb. 15, 2002. These comments will be used in preparation of the final environmental assessment and should be directed to:

Mr. Scott Ledford
2009 Grubb Road
Lenoir City, TN 37771
wsledford@tva.gov
(865) 988-2443

Please consider the following list of concerns in your environmental assessment of the proposed Raw Water Intake Plant to be located in the Bull Run Creek area of Melton Hill Reservoir. We strongly object to the proposed location for a Water Intake Structure on the basis of the negative environmental impacts it will have on Bull Run Creek, surrounding wetlands, wildlife, and the adjacent quiet residential neighborhoods.

At the proposed intake location, the creek is only 5 to 8 feet deep at its most frequent depth (Not the 10 -12 feet stated in the report). The shoreline of the surrounding area is frequently below normal pool. The frequent draw down would contribute to lower water quality as a potential 22 million gallons of water would be taken daily.

The increased noise level from the intake facility is a serious concern. At the time these studies were conducted the following noises impacted these studies.

- A loose expansion joint on the Bridge. (since repaired)
- Noise from passing boats. (an intermittent disruption)
- Traffic passing by on Henderson Road (an intermittent disruption)
- Activity at the adjacent Anderson County recreation area and boat launch.
- Passing trains and warning whistles at the Bull Run Railroad Bridge

All these sounds were averaged together to set the average ambient sound level. Since these sounds are not constant they are more easily tolerated by people. A pumping station would drone for hours at a time! Any constant noise, regardless of the decibel level will seriously contribute to noise pollution.

This use is not consistent with the 1999 TVA Environmental Assessment (EA) and Land Use Plan for Melton Hill. This plan was set forth to provide enhanced protection to sensitive resources (such as cultural sites, wetlands and rare species). The parcel of land where the water intake facility is to be located was designated by the TVA Board of Directors as parcel #80 and placed in Zone 7, Residential access. The report states that there are emergent wetlands present on this parcel. Even in 1999, TVA had concerns about the environmental impact of further development of this parcel. (This parcel was not designated as a Zone 2, Project Operations parcel)

In the summer of 2001, a boating death occurred in these waters. The increased traffic and high use of this area is not consistent with the location of a water intake facility.

Additional key concerns include, but are not limited to:

- increased water pollution and sediment (resulting from construction)
- decreased water levels (8-22 million gallons to be withdrawn daily)
- decreased water quality (resulting from lower water levels)
- increased fluctuation of water levels
- increased erosion and deterioration of shoreline
- increased water clutter (industrial intake pipes, screens, and buoys, signs)
- increased noise pollution (Pump noise, Air conditioning noise , warning horn)
- increased light pollution (building lighting, illumination spotlight)
- negative impact on natural landscape (industrial building, signage)
- decreased recreational use and safety concerns
- negative impact on public park and recreation area (boating, fishing, swimming, etc.)
- heavy blasting on Creek and Mehaffey Road for pipeline construction which could cause home and road damage

Thank you for your diligence in this matter.

Public Comments

Tennessee Valley Authority
Melton Hill Reservoir

Name: Row & Brenda Carter

Address: 160 CAMPBELL LN

Clinton, TN

Phone: 865 945-5709 37716

Comments (please use the space below):

Please see attached

FEB 04 2002

Comments:

Comments are encouraged and will be utilized to make a decision regarding the proposed intake facility on Melton Hill Lake. Written comments must be received on or before Feb. 15, 2002. These comments will be used in preparation of the final environmental assessment and should be directed to:

Mr. Scott Ledford
2009 Grubb Road
Lenoir City, TN 37771
wsledford@tva.gov
(865) 988-2443

Please consider the following list of concerns in your environmental assessment of the proposed Raw Water Intake Plant to be located in the Bull Run Creek area of Melton Hill Reservoir. We strongly object to the proposed location for a Water Intake Structure on the basis of the negative environmental impacts it will have on Bull Run Creek, surrounding wetlands, wildlife, and the adjacent quiet residential neighborhoods.

At the proposed intake location, the creek is only 5 to 8 feet deep at its most frequent depth (Not the 10 -12 feet stated in the report). The shoreline of the surrounding area is frequently below normal pool. The frequent draw down would contribute to lower water quality as a potential 22 million gallons of water would be taken daily.

The increased noise level from the intake facility is a serious concern. At the time these studies were conducted the following noises impacted these studies.

- A loose expansion joint on the Bridge. (since repaired)
- Noise from passing boats. (an intermittent disruption)
- Traffic passing by on Henderson Road (an intermittent disruption)
- Activity at the adjacent Anderson County recreation area and boat launch.
- Passing trains and warning whistles at the Bull Run Railroad Bridge

All these sounds were averaged together to set the average ambient sound level. Since these sounds are not constant they are more easily tolerated by people. A pumping station would drone for hours at a time! Any constant noise, regardless of the decibel level will seriously contribute to noise pollution.

This use is not consistent with the 1999 TVA Environmental Assessment (EA) and Land Use Plan for Melton Hill. This plan was set forth to provide enhanced protection to sensitive resources (such as cultural sites, wetlands and rare species). The parcel of land where the water intake facility is to be located was designated by the TVA Board of Directors as parcel #80 and placed in Zone 7, Residential access. The report states that there are emergent wetlands present on this parcel. Even in 1999, TVA had concerns about the environmental impact of further development of this parcel. (This parcel was not designated as a Zone 2, Project Operations parcel)

In the summer of 2001, a boating death occurred in these waters. The increased traffic and high use of this area is not consistent with the location of a water intake facility.

Additional key concerns include, but are not limited to:

- increased water pollution and sediment (resulting from construction)
- decreased water levels (8-22 million gallons to be withdrawn daily)
- decreased water quality (resulting from lower water levels)
- increased fluctuation of water levels
- increased erosion and deterioration of shoreline
- increased water clutter (industrial intake pipes, screens, and buoys, signs)
- increased noise pollution (Pump noise, Air conditioning noise, warning horn)
- increased light pollution (building lighting, illumination spotlight)
- negative impact on natural landscape (industrial building, signage)
- decreased recreational use and safety concerns
- negative impact on public park and recreation area (boating, fishing, swimming, etc.)
- heavy blasting on Creek and Mehaffey Road for pipeline construction which could cause home and road damage

Thank you for your diligence in this matter.

September 10, 2002

Mr. Marty G. Tyree
Nashville District Corps of Engineers
Regulatory Branch
3701 Bell Road
Nashville, TN 37214-2660

Mr. Richard L. Toennison
NEPA administration
Tennessee Valley Authority
400 West summit Hill Drive (WT8C)
Knoxville, TN 37902-1499

Re: Draft Environmental Assessment
Proposed Installation of Raw Water Intake Structure in Bull Run Embayment of
Melton Hill Lake, Anderson County, Tennessee.

Dear Mr. Tyree and Mr. Toennison:

After reviewing the draft EA prepared and signed off (see TVA preparers page 10 of Draft EA) by your group of specialists and experts, we are concerned by the inconsistencies and serious issues not addressed by this report. Most notably, our concerns about safety, navigation and the prospects for alternative sites.

Safety:

Your report states that there would be no underwater hazard created by the underwater pipeline and the intake screens at the 790WPA. This is just 3ft below our average water depth which runs the risk of becoming a navigation hazard especially during low pool draw downs. Many boats draw more than 3ft at low speed operation or during acceleration. Since this area is attractive to fishermen, it's entirely possible that they could hit the intake pipes in low water situations.

In addition, this intake is sure to peak the interest of a small number of people who find great enjoyment jumping off this bridge. Although the sheriff's department issues stern warnings to those caught in the act, it only stops the activity temporarily. A water intake, complete with warning signs and buoys, is sure to become a challenging goal for someone to see if they can jump off the bridge, swim to the buoy and make it back without peril. Your report states that the intakes "are not likely to trap or harm a swimmer or boat." But who is liable if someone is injured or dies while swimming or boating in the area?. TVA, Hallsdale Powell, Anderson County, or the idiot who tempted fate?

Letter to Tyree and Toennison
September 10, 2002
Page Two

PWC operators are routinely thrown from their ride in this area while executing quick direction changes. It's entirely conceivable that a PWC operator will use the buoys as a slalom course. What happens when they throw themselves of their ride close-to or on-top-of the intakes? Events such as this are not without precedent in this area. As we mentioned at our January 24, 2002 meeting, at least two individuals have died while participating in the recreation opportunities offered by this area. One by drowning, and one PWC collision.

Navigational Safety

Your report does not acknowledge the navigational and safety issues brought about by placing a warning sign and buoys in the main channel of Bull Run Creek directly adjacent to the Henderson Road bridge and this Anderson County Recreation area. Bull Run Creek is a fairly narrow channel and offers navigation under the Henderson Rd bridge only between the set of bridge abutments. A wide variety of boats and personal watercraft utilize this area due to it's close proximity to the boat launch ramp. Fishermen are frequently fishing around the bridge, blocking one of the two most widely used navigable channels under the bridge. (the other channel may be too shallow depending on water depth at the time) The fact is, this is a highly congested area in the summer months. By adding an additional navigational hazard and restricting the navigation options under the bridge, you will be restricting the area boaters have to take emergency action in the event of encountering a collision course with an oncoming vessel. A course that will become even narrower by placing the intakes with their warning signs and buoys in the channel of Bull Run Creek. This buoy (or buoys) will also be directly in the shadow of the bridge in the summer months, making it very difficult to see to those who are not expecting it to be in the main channel. In short, navigation and boating safety will be compromised by this location.

This would be especially true during periods of routine flowback maintenance. The flowback operator cannot see all the boat traffic coming towards them from the down-river side of the site due to the 90 degree kink in the creek, just prior to the bridge. Let's say that the operator begins flowback maintenance on a calm Summer weekday, after checking the area. Unknown to him is a Bass boat, speeding up Bull Run Creek at 60 mph. Just before reaching the bridge the bass boat unexpectedly encounters the two foot wave created by the flowback procedure (as explained at our January 24th meeting). If the boat unexpectedly encounters this wave at high speed near the bridge, the boat and its startled operator will be launched into the air and possibly into the bridge. No boat operator expects to hit a two foot roller in calm conditions with no other boats around. But this could happen at this site.

Letter to Tyree and Toennison
September 10, 2002
Page Three

Alternate Sites Considered:

Your report finds no environmental advantage for locating the site in conjunction with the existing West Knox County Utility District site on Melton Hill Reservoir due to (among other things) potential turbidity concerns. In fact, Bull Run Creek offers an almost constant flow of waterborne particles such as silt and pasture runoff, while Melton Hill offers clear, cold water from a depth of almost 40 ft. According to West Knox Utility District, the water drawn from this location on Melton Hill is among the finest in their system. It requires less filtration and less preparation to become fit for consumption. The economic issues you list such as "higher energy use", and "twice the distance of pipeline as the proposed site" are cost issues that may in fact be offset by decreased processing expense, the laying of pipeline in an area that has already been excavated for West Knox Utility's lines and the elimination of the difficult process of blasting Creek Road to install pipeline along this long slab of rock to install the pipeline from the proposed site.

As far as the proposed site being in agreement with the current land use designation as outlined by the 1999 Melton Hill Land use Plan, we must ask you to reconsider your finding. The proposed site is located in Parcel 80 and is placed in Zone Z, Residential Access. I've attached the parcel description from the 1999 report so that you can explain how the "87 feet of pipe that will be out of the ground and exposed in the channel" (from the June 14 letter to Scott Ledford from Robert Campbell, PE) is consistent with this designation. According to the Melton Hill Land Management Plan, there are no other intake facilities designated as project operations that are located so closely to residential development on this entire reservoir. We feel approval of this site for use inconsistent with it's original designation would be precedent-setting.

Other Concerns:

Please address the engineering concerns attached to this document.

Can someone please tell us if the foundation of the Henderson Road bridge is in jeopardy while the cofferdam is being constructed and then removed?

What is the liability for HPUD if the noise levels stated in the report are exceeded?

Do we have a recourse?

What is TVA's response if HPUD decides to place the pump house in a different location on this parcel of land? Mr. Duncan (the property owner) advises us that HPUD is considering this. Will this require TVA to review the project again under section 26a of the TVA Act?

Letter to Tyree and Toennison
September 10, 2002
Page Four

Preferred Alternative:

As you can tell, we still have many concerns and questions regarding the approval of this site. As residents who use this part of the reservoir almost daily, we see how this area is utilized by various groups of people and individuals. Please consider our accumulated wisdom and interest in this issue carefully. We are not against HPUD providing water to their customers. We just want TVA and HPUD to consider the safety and navigational realities of locating their facility in Bull Run Creek. Considering all the available alternatives and the serious safety and navigational issues at hand, the preferred alternative is to locate the HPUD intake facility in conjunction with the existing West Knox Utility district intake on Melton Hill at Clinch River Marker 46.1L just south of Bull Run Creek.

Thank you for your careful consideration to these requests.

Sincerely,

Concerned Residents of Melton Hill Lake, Bull Run Creek Area

1cc: TVA, Attn: Scott Ledford, 2009 Grubb Road, Lenoir City, TN 37771-6440

Parcel 79 - (3.3 Acres)Zone 6, Recreation

This parcel is currently licensed to Anderson County for public recreation purposes. An existing launching ramp and gravel parking lot receive medium-to-heavy use. Also, a power line crosses about midway of this parcel. Severe erosion was occurring on the exposed point but was stabilized by the placement of riprap through a partnership between TVA and Anderson County. The reduced erosion improved aquatic habitat and water quality. This parcel was placed in Zone 6 to reflect the existing recreational use.

Prior Forecast Designation: Public Recreation

Parcel 80 - (4.8 Acres)Zone 7, Residential Access

Located just east of the second bridge in Bull Run Creek, this parcel is a narrow strip of land that fronts Springhill Lakeside Subdivision. Access to this property is via Henderson Road. It is located between TVA property markers 151WC and 78, and water-use facilities are present. The land cover includes small trees and vegetation, with some mowed lawn areas. There are emergent wetlands present on this parcel. Requests for additional water-use facilities will be considered but must be carefully evaluated to avoid adverse impacts to the wetlands.

Prior Forecast Designation: Reservoir Operations

Parcel 81 - (2.9 Acres)Zone 3, Sensitive Resource Management

- Wetlands

This small parcel is located on the lakeward side of McHaffey Road across the street from Spring Hill Church. This parcel was placed in Zone 3 to protect three emergent wetland areas. The east side of this small embayment consists of a steep, rocky, forested area. Requests for water-use facilities will not be considered.

Prior Forecast Designation: Reservoir Operations

Parcel 82 - (17.0 Acres)Zone 7, Residential Access

This parcel is located approximately midway on both the north and south banks of Bull Run Creek. Some of the shoreline is still forested; however, most of it is maintained as lawns with many water-use facilities present. This developed shoreline consists of Melton View Addition (between TVA property markers 71 and 77 [Map 9D]) and Lake Shore Estates (between TVA property markers 77 and 134 [Map 10D]) Subdivisions on the north side. Just west of Melton View Addition is a residential area located between TVA property markers 62 and 71 that is not referred to as a subdivision but has many

roots help bind soil particles together and minimize soil erosion. In addition, vegetation preserves shoreline beauty for lake users. Requests for water-use facilities will not be considered.

Prior Forecast Designation: Commercial Landing and Public Recreation

Parcel 71 - (3.2 Acres)

Zone 2, TVA Project Operations

This parcel is located just south of Bull Run Creek at CRM 46.1L and consists of a pumping station and access road. It was transferred to West Knox Utility District. Parcel 70 is located directly behind and fronting this parcel.

Prior Forecast Designation: None

Parcel 72 - (0.9 Acres)

Zone 3, Sensitive Resource Management

- Cultural
- Wetlands

This parcel is a narrow strip of land partially forested between the railroad and the shoreline. Aquatic bed wetlands occur offshore. It was placed in Zone 3 to protect a sensitive cultural resources site and wetlands. Requests for water-use facilities will not be considered.

Prior Forecast Designation: Reservoir Operations


Parcel 73 - (6.6 Acres)

Zone 7, Residential Access

This parcel goes from TVA property markers 94 to just north of 149A. This narrow strip of shoreline begins at the first bridge entering Bull Run Creek at TVA property marker 94. Henderson Bend Subdivision is located between TVA property markers 94 and 85. This portion of this parcel has been heavily modified due to residential development. Emergent wetlands occur on the parcel. Two additional docks have been approved upstream of Henderson Bend Subdivision. A power line crosses this parcel just east of Henderson Bend Subdivision. Requests for additional water-use facilities will be considered. Any requests for facilities must be carefully evaluated.

Prior Forecast Designation: Reservoir Operations

Bull Run
Steam Plant



September 18, 2002

Mr. Marty G. Tyree
Nashville District Corps of Engineers
Regulatory Branch
3701 Bell Road
Nashville, TN 37214-2660

RECEIVED
Environmental Policy and Planning

OCT 8 2002

Mr. Richard L. Toennison
NEPA administration
Tennessee Valley Authority
400 West Summit Hill Drive (WT8C)
Knoxville, TN 37902-1499

Doc Type: _____
Doc Field: _____
Doc Name: _____
Doc No: _____

Re: Draft Environmental Assessment
Proposed Installation of Raw Water Intake Structure in Bull Run Embayment of
Melton Hill Lake, Anderson County, Tennessee.

Dear Mr. Tyree and Mr. Toennison:

After reviewing the draft EA prepared by your group of specialists and experts, we are concerned by the inconsistencies and serious issues not addressed by this report. Most notably, our concerns about safe navigation, general safety, and the prospects for alternative sites.

Navigational Safety

Your report does not acknowledge the navigational and safety issues brought about by placing a warning sign and buoys in the main channel of Bull Run Creek, directly adjacent to the Henderson Road bridge and this Anderson County Recreation area. Bull Run Creek is a fairly narrow channel and offers navigation under the Henderson Road bridge only between the set of bridge abutments. A wide variety of boats and personal watercraft utilize this area due to its close proximity to the boat launch ramp. People are frequently fishing around the bridge, with their boats blocking one of the two most widely used navigable channels under the bridge. (the other channel may be too shallow depending on water depth at the time) The fact is, this is a highly congested area in the summer months. By adding an additional navigational hazard and restricting the navigation options under the bridge, you will be restricting the area in which boaters have to take emergency action in the event of encountering a collision course with an oncoming vessel. A course that will become even narrower by placing the intakes with their warning signs and buoys in the channel of Bull Run Creek. This buoy (or buoys) will also be directly in the shadow of the bridge in the summer months, making it very difficult to see to those who are not expecting it to be in the main channel. In short, navigation and boating safety will be compromised by this location.

Letter to Tyree and Toennison
September 10, 2002
Page Two

This would be especially true during periods of routine flowback maintenance as explained at our January 24th meeting by Mr. Campbell. Although it's hard to believe, Mr Campbell stated that the flowback procedure would generate a two-foot-high wave, as air is passed through the intake pipes to clear debris that has been sucked into the intake. This would be considered routine maintenance, which is why they specified a window to be placed facing the water so the operator could conduct a visible check of the area prior to performing the procedure. However, the flowback operator cannot see all the boat traffic coming towards them from the down-river side of the site, due to the 90 degree kink in the creek just prior to the bridge. Let's say that the operator begins flowback maintenance on a calm summer weekday after visibly checking the area. Unknown to him is a bass boat, speeding up Bull Run Creek at 60 mph. Just before reaching the bridge, the bass boat unexpectedly encounters the two foot wave, created by the flowback procedure (as explained by Mr. Campbell). If the boat unexpectedly encounters this wave at high speed near the bridge, the boat and its startled operator will be launched into the air and possibly into the bridge. No boat operator expects to hit a two foot roller in calm conditions with no other boats around. But this could happen at this site during routine flowback maintenance.

Safety:

Your report states that there would be no underwater hazard created by the underwater pipeline and the intake screens at the 790WPA. This is just 3ft below our average water depth which runs the risk of becoming a navigation hazard especially during low pool draw downs. Many boats draw more than 3ft at low speed operation or during acceleration. Since this area is attractive to fishermen, it is entirely possible that they could hit the intake pipes in low water situations.

In addition, this intake is sure to peak the interest of a small number of people who find great enjoyment jumping off this bridge. Although the sheriff's department issues stern warnings to those caught in the act, it only stops the activity temporarily. A water intake, complete with warning signs and buoys, is sure to become a challenging goal for someone to see if they can jump off the bridge, swim to the buoy and make it back without peril. Your report states that the intakes "are not likely to trap or harm a swimmer or boat." But who is liable if someone is injured or dies while swimming or boating in the area?. TVA, Hallsdale Powell, Anderson County, or the ill-advised person who tempted fate?

Letter to Tyree and Toennison
September 10, 2002
Page Three

Personal Watercraft operators are routinely thrown from their ride in this area while executing quick direction changes. It's entirely conceivable that a PWC operator will use the buoys as a slalom course. What happens when they throw themselves off their ride close-to or on-top-of the intakes? Events such as this are not without precedent in this area. As we mentioned at our January 24, 2002 meeting, at least two individuals have died while participating in the recreation opportunities offered by this area. One by drowning, and one PWC collision.

Alternate Sites Considered:

Your report finds no environmental advantage for locating the site in conjunction with the existing West Knox County Utility District site on Melton Hill Reservoir due to (among other things) potential turbidity concerns. In fact, Bull Run Creek offers an almost constant flow of waterborne particles such as silt and pasture runoff, while Melton Hill offers clear, cold water from a depth of almost 40 ft. According to West Knox Utility District, the water drawn from this location on Melton Hill is among the finest in their system. It requires less filtration and less preparation to become fit for consumption. The economic issues you list such as "higher energy use", and "twice the distance of pipeline as the proposed site" are cost issues that may in fact be offset by decreased processing expense, the laying of pipeline in an area that has already been excavated for West Knox Utility's lines, the avoidance of noise abatement costs, and the elimination of the difficult process of blasting Creek Road to install pipeline along this long slab of rock to install the pipeline from the proposed site.

Even the existing site could be dredged and improved to accomodate the additional demand for water.

As far as the proposed site being in agreement with the current land use designation, as outlined by the 1999 Melton Hill Land Use Plan, we must ask you to reconsider your finding. The proposed site is located in Parcel 80 and is placed in Zone 7, Residential Access. I've attached the parcel description from the 1999 report so that you can explain how the "87 feet of pipe that will be out of the ground and exposed in the channel" (from the June 14 letter to Scott Ledford from Robert Campbell, PE) is consistent with this designation. According to the Melton Hill Land Management Plan, there are no other intake facilities designated as project operations that are located so closely to residential development on this entire reservoir. We feel approval of this site for use inconsistent with it's original designation would be precedent-setting.

Letter to Tyree and Toennison
September 10, 2002
Page Four

Unsubstantiated Issues

The Draft Environmental Assessment has numerous unsubstantiated or unaddressed issues, such as:

- (a) p.7, 1st paragraph under Water Quality: "... could increase water temperatures." The actual effect needs to be better quantified.
- (b) p.7, 4th paragraph under Water Quality: "The withdrawal ... would not be a significant concern ..." needs to be justified (perhaps with a transient volumetric water flow study).
- (c) p.7, 4th paragraph under Water Quality: "This movement of reservoir water into the embayment could benefit water quality by increasing circulation." This may be correct for the area between the intake and the main reservoir, but may NOT be true for the area upstream of the intake. Again, a transient volumetric water flow study would resolve this question.
- (d) p.7, 4th paragraph under Water Quality: "Water temperatures and lake levels would not be altered significantly ..." needs to be compared to the 34 cfs water being removed from Bull Run Creek, not the 4,900 cfs flow of the reservoir. What is the source of the 15 cfs cited in the last sentence?

Other Concerns

- Would the foundation of the Henderson Road bridge be in jeopardy while the cofferdam is being constructed and then removed?
- What is the liability for HPUD if the noise levels stated in the report are exceeded? Do area residents have a recourse?
- What is TVA's response if HPUD decides to place the pump house in a different location on this parcel of land? Mr. Duncan (the property owner) advises us that HPUD is considering this. Will this require TVA to review the project again under section 26a of the TVA Act?

Preferred Alternative:

As you can tell, we still have many concerns and questions regarding the approval of this site. As residents who use this part of the reservoir almost daily, we see how this area is utilized by various groups of people and individuals. Please consider our accumulated wisdom and interest in this issue carefully. We are not against HPUD providing water to their customers. We just want TVA and HPUD to consider the safety and navigational realities of locating their facility in Bull Run Creek so close to an area that is so heavily utilized for recreation.

Letter to Tyree and Toennison
September 10, 2002
Page Five

Considering all the available alternatives and the serious safety and navigational issues at hand, the preferred alternative is to locate the HPUD intake facility in conjunction with the existing West Knox Utility district intake on Melton Hill at Clinch River Marker 46.1L just south of Bull Run Creek.

Thank you for your careful consideration to these requests.

Sincerely,

Concerned users of Melton Hill Lake, Bull Run Creek Area

1cc: TVA, Attn: Scott Ledford, 2009 Grubb Road, Lenoir City, TN 37771-6440

Letter to Tyree and Toennison
September 10, 2002
Page Five

We the undersigned support the contents of this letter and request a written response to our concerns

Name(s)	Address
S. Pauline Steir	222 Tracy Allison Ln, Clinton, TN 37716
Jorena Steir	same as above
Gary W. Owsby	214 Tracy Allison Ln Clinton, TN 37716
Carolyn Owsby	Same
Amy Owsby	same
Cory Owsby	Same
Donald Luech	100 TRACY ALLISON LN, CLINTON 37716
Flada Luech	SAME
Jay E. Earl	108 TRACY Allison Ln Clinton 37716
Betty Earl	same
Anna K. Dyer	130 Tracy Allison Ln Clinton 37716
Howard R. Dyer	same
Bob Campbell	150 TRACY ALLISON LN. CLINTON 37716
Kathy Campbell	Same
Carolyn N. Houser	110 ROSE MARIE Ln Clinton 37716
W.A. Houser	SAME

We, the undersigned, support the content of this letter to oppose the location of the Raw Water Intake on Bull Run Embayment and request a written response to our concerns.

Name(s)	Address
Jimmy Freeman	140 Crestview Lane Clinton
Lee Bishop	535 Old Emory Rd. Clinton, TN
Gay I Uare	124 Forest Circle Clayton
George E Miller	9526 PATT Rd
Andrew J Bullock	166 Moccasin Hollow L.N. Clin
Quilix Freeman	140 Crestview Lane Clinton
Wilma L Freeman	332 Johnson Gap Rd Clinton
Ima Gray	305 Raccoon Vly Rd Powell TN 378
James Burgess	3533 Oak Villa Way Knox TN.
Robert D Hill	184 Walker Cir.
Annie Whitaker	Clinton, Tenn 37714
John G Cox	P.O. Box 805 Clinton TN 37717
	621 FOUST CARNAY POWELL TN. 37849
Jim Hackworth	Three Locky Top, Clinton TN 3771
Raura Owens	350 RACCOON VALLEY Rd Powell 378
Coris Kennedy	1116 Edgemoor Rd Clinton, TN.
Michael Juppier	120 Old Blackberry Ln Clinton T
John E. [unclear]	160 LAKEVIEW FERRY LANE CLINTON TN.

Letter to Tyree and Toennison
September 10, 2002
Page Five

We the undersigned support the contents of this letter and request a written response to our concerns

Name(s)	Address
Earl H Cagle	407 Old Blacksberry Ln. Clinton TN 37716
Ronald Moneymaker	649 Old BLACKSBERRY LN. CLINTON TN 37711
David Cagle	137 Lakeview Forest LN Clinton TN 37716
Jim Cameron	116 Springhouse Road Powell TN 37849
Burt Cagle	103 Lakeview Ferry Ln. Clinton TN 37716
Jack B. Arini	1428 Eagle Bend Dr. Clinton, TN 37716
Cecil B. Moneymaker	7707 Ensey Rd Knox.
Lee V Moneymaker	7705 Ensey ROAD KNOX. TN
Kennie W. Boling	305 Jones Rd. Clinton, TN
Marie Boling	305 Jones Rd Clinton, TN
W L Montgomery	319 Jones Rd Clinton, TN
Dave Adaline	425 Jones Ln Clinton TN 37716
Jackie D. Montgomery	319 Jones Rd Clinton TN 37716
Earl W. Wells	314 Jones Ln. Clinton TN 37716
Pat Butcher	404 Jones Ln Clinton TN 37716
Mike Clark	407 Jones Ln Clinton TN 37716
Dennis Harkowitz	115 Jones Ln. Clinton TN 37716
Charles B. Parkey	230 Old Blacksberry Rd. 37716
Mathew Hucis	1706 Callahan Rd 37412
Summer Cleveland	408 Jones Ln Clinton, TN 37716
Dale & Pam Elrod	411 Jones Ln. Clinton TN 37716
Tam A. Dan	175 B. L. M. Clinton 37716

Letter to Tyree and Toennison
September 10, 2002
Page Five

We the undersigned support the contents of this letter and request a written response to our concerns

Name(s)	Address
John Bui	7036 SAGE LN. Knoxville, TN. 37931
Wayne Kohlrieser	113 Lake Hills Dr. Oak Ridge, TN 37831
John Harrell	10053 BELL FOWER WAY, KNOXVILLE, TN 37932
Tom Perham	127 LAKE HILLS DRIVE, OAK RIDGE, TN. 37830
Bill Thom	498 Montcrest Drive, Lenoir City TN, 37771
Mike Howell	2756 W. Galtier Fwy Rd Knox, TN 37931
David L. Thomas	161 North Purdue, Oak Ridge, TN 37830
Diane Baker	1140 El Prado Dr. Knoxville, TN 37922
Judy Kelly	139 Highland Ave, Oak Ridge, TN 37830
MICHAEL ROGERS	108 ORCHARD LANE, CLINTON, TN 37716
Nidia Galligo	195 Old Dutch Valley Rd. Clinton TN 377
W. R. E. Allen	2262 E WOLF VA. RD CLINTON TN 37716
Forest Lane	423 VALLEY DR. Oliver Springs, TN 37840
DAVE BARNCORP	5416 PINECREST RD. KNOXVILLE, TN 37912
D.E. Woody	116 Inca Cir. Oak Ridge, TN. 37830
Carl Wright	111 Partridge Place Clinton TN
Ed Mee	1829 Shady Hollow LN Knox TN 37922
Harold Taylor	7707 carpenter Rd Knoxville, TN 37931
E. W. Sisk	170 Duff Rd. Lenoir City TN 37771

Letter to Tyree and Toennison
September 10, 2002
Page Five

We the undersigned support the contents of this letter and request a written response to our concerns

Name(s) Address

Jann McClelland 150 Baker Meadows Ln.
~~Brian McClelland~~ 150 Baker Meadows Ln.
C. J. Peckin 4108 GINN Rd. Knoxville, TN.
Patrick Jenkins 416 Old Blackferry Ln. Clinton, TN 37716
Moyford Watson 144 Watson C.R. Clinton TN 37716
Mary Wallace 625 New Henderson Rd
Clinton TN 37716
Jeff Wallace 625 New Henderson Rd
Clinton TN 37716
~~Red~~ 2329 Clinton Hwy Powell TN 37849
Anthony McBee 206 Jones Ln
Clinton TN 37716
Cindy McBee Clinton TN 37716
Pat McBee - 206 Jones Lane, Clinton, TN 37716 - 945-2080
Robert Cagle Daybreak Dr Knoxville, TN
Richard Baker 6331 Pleasant Ridge Rd Knoxville, TN 37931
Bill Wilcox 3334 CRUZE RD. Powell
Eddie Coward 115 Tracy Allison Ln Clinton TN 37716 21
Bob Celler 219 Maddy Holman Rd
Jay Coward 121 Tracy Allison Ln Clinton TN 37716
Anita J. Coward 115 Tracy Allison Ln. Clinton TN
Byron F. Bradley 133 Loy Ln. Powell TN 37849
James D. Kays 2644 Clinton Hwy Powell TN
James D. Kays 416 Old Blackferry Ln 37716

We, the undersigned, support the content of this letter to oppose the location of the Raw Water Intake on Bull Run Embayment and request a written response to our concerns.

Name(s)	Address
SPW BAILEY Leon Fuller	3357 BELLEVUE ST. KNOXVILLE, TN. 37917 112 Queen St Clinton
Bill Hayer	Schooler Rd
CB McJannet	Florence Coles Rd.
Robert Wayne Smith	7408 Stanley rd. Powell.
MARK Atchley	25 white loop Rd Clinton
Tony Moore	
PJ Moore	
Ann Jones	3128 Galbraith St Knoxville TN 37912
Kathy Jones	3128 Galbraith St. Knox. TN 37921
Neil Thomas Jace	7910 Blackberry RD KNOX, TN 37931
Billy McJannet RB	108 Prince Lane Clinton, TN 37711
Bennie A Ferrin	
Eddie Hammitt	1600 Jefferson Ave
Raservell Williams	1905 Jefferson Ave
Peter Pop	1905 Jefferson Ave
Alan W. McNeely	296 HERREN LANE, POWELL, TN.
Ralph McNeely	185 STRADER RD, POWELL, TN
Chuck Hammond	208 Bull Run "
Michael Hammond	7102 CENTRAL Av.

We, the undersigned, support the content of this letter to oppose the location of the Raw Water Intake on Bull Run Embayment and request a written response to our concerns.

Name(s)	Address
D.E. Cooper	37769 2910 LakeCity Hwy Lakelity Tn.
Awade	2306 Blue Tick Lane Lenoir City
Cui Lee	202 Henderson Rd. Claxton
Bo Cheatham	3336 Buffat Mill Knoxville
Jim Graves	P.O. Box 889 Heiskell TN 37754-000
Paul Doldin	352 Clinton Tenn.
John Wright	
Zim Heald	
Dale Jacobs	6207 Neubert Springs Rd. Knoxville, TN 379
Tony Vaughn	509 Faust Carney Rd. Powell
Floyd Garrison	1830 OLD LAKECITY HWY CLIXON
Danny Idles	

We, the undersigned, support the content of this letter to oppose the location of the Raw Water Intake on Bull Run Embayment and request a written response to our concerns.

Name(s)

Gone Johnson
Howard McNeill

Address

215 TRACY ALLISON CLINTON
227 Tracy Allison, director

Letter to Tyree and Toennison
September 10, 2002
Page Five


We the undersigned support the contents of this letter and request a written response to our concerns

Name(s)	Address	
James Bickel	138 Gade Rd	Powell, TN 37849
Dud Miller	150 Gade Road	✓
Ralph & Bill	150 Gade Road.	Powell, TN 37849


Letter to Tyree and Toennison
September 10, 2002
Page Five

We the undersigned support the contents of this letter and request a written response to our concerns

Name(s)	Address
Collene Dumbay	224 Old Blackferry Ln. - Clinton
Vaughn Dumbay	224 Old Blackferry Ln. - Clinton
Dawn Taylor	130 Lakeview Ferry Ln - Clinton
COONIE S. TAYLOR	130 LAKEVIEW FERRY LN - Clinton
Lee Ann Jenkins-Freels	112 JONES LANE CLINTON TN. 37716
Jim Freels	112 JONES LANE CLINTON TN. 37716
James Hunter Freels	112 Jones Lane
Autumn Taylor Freels	112 JONES, CLINTON 37716
James L. Jenkins	417 OLD BLACKSFERRY RD. CLINTON 37716
Sierra Stair	222 Tracy Allison Clinton, TN, 37716



Melton Hill Reservoir Land Management Plan Panel 2



Department of Energy (DOE) Property
 Parcel Delineations
 NS = Navigation Safety Harbor/Safety Landing
 2000 0 2000 4000 Feet

Bull Run
Stearns Plant

Proposed Location

Best Alternative

Parcel 79 - (3.3 Acres)Zone 6, Recreation

This parcel is currently licensed to Anderson County for public recreation purposes. An existing launching ramp and gravel parking lot receive medium-to-heavy use. Also, a power line crosses about midway of this parcel. Severe erosion was occurring on the exposed point but was stabilized by the placement of riprap through a partnership between TVA and Anderson County. The reduced erosion improved aquatic habitat and water quality. This parcel was placed in Zone 6 to reflect the existing recreational use.

Prior Forecast Designation: Public Recreation

Parcel 80 - (4.8 Acres)Zone 7, Residential Access

Located just east of the second bridge in Bull Run Creek, this parcel is a narrow strip of land that fronts Springhill Lakeside Subdivision. Access to this property is via Henderson Road. It is located between TVA property markers 151WC and 78, and water-use facilities are present. The land cover includes small trees and vegetation, with some mowed lawn areas. There are emergent wetlands present on this parcel. Requests for additional water-use facilities will be considered but must be carefully evaluated to avoid adverse impacts to the wetlands.

Prior Forecast Designation: Reservoir Operations

Parcel 81 - (2.9 Acres)Zone 3, Sensitive Resource Management

- Wetlands

This small parcel is located on the lakeward side of McHaffey Road across the street from Spring Hill Church. This parcel was placed in Zone 3 to protect three emergent wetland areas. The east side of this small embayment consists of a steep, rocky, forested area. Requests for water-use facilities will not be considered.

Prior Forecast Designation: Reservoir Operations

Parcel 82 - (17.0 Acres)Zone 7, Residential Access

This parcel is located approximately midway on both the north and south banks of Bull Run Creek. Some of the shoreline is still forested; however, most of it is maintained as lawns with many water-use facilities present. This developed shoreline consists of Melton View Addition (between TVA property markers 71 and 77 [Map 9D]) and Lake Shore Estates (between TVA property markers 77 and 134 [Map 10D]) Subdivisions on the north side. Just west of Melton View Addition is a residential area located between TVA property markers 62 and 71 that is not referred to as a subdivision but has many

roots help bind soil particles together and minimize soil erosion. In addition, vegetation preserves shoreline beauty for lake users. Requests for water-use facilities will not be considered.

Prior Forecast Designation: Commercial Landing and Public Recreation

Parcel 71 - (3.2 Acres)

Zone 2, TVA Project Operations

This parcel is located just south of Bull Run Creek at CRM 46.1L and consists of a pumping station and access road. It was transferred to West Knox Utility District. Parcel 70 is located directly behind and fronting this parcel.

Prior Forecast Designation: None

Parcel 72 - (0.9 Acres)

Zone 3, Sensitive Resource Management

- Cultural
- Wetlands

This parcel is a narrow strip of land partially forested between the railroad and the shoreline. Aquatic bed wetlands occur offshore. It was placed in Zone 3 to protect a sensitive cultural resources site and wetlands. Requests for water-use facilities will not be considered.

Prior Forecast Designation: Reservoir Operations

Parcel 73 - (6.6 Acres)

Zone 7, Residential Access

This parcel goes from TVA property markers 94 to just north of 149A. This narrow strip of shoreline begins at the first bridge entering Bull Run Creek at TVA property marker 94. Henderson Bend Subdivision is located between TVA property markers 94 and 85. This portion of this parcel has been heavily modified due to residential development. Emergent wetlands occur on the parcel. Two additional docks have been approved upstream of Henderson Bend Subdivision. A power line crosses this parcel just east of Henderson Bend Subdivision. Requests for additional water-use facilities will be considered. Any requests for facilities must be carefully evaluated.

Prior Forecast Designation: Reservoir Operations
